UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN **EASTERN DIVISION**

JOSEPH T. DEAN and REBECCA P. DEAN.

Plaintiffs.

JUDGE: O'Meara, John Corbett DECK : S. Division Civil Deck

DATE : 12/16/2005 @ 12:20:40

CASE NUMBER: 5:05CV60287 CMP JOSEPH DEAN, ET AL V. PAUL

SHAMO, ET AL TAM

VS.

PAUL SHAMO, the Vessel "38 TOP GUN" and LOU SHAMO LEASING, INC.

Defendants.

ROBERT F. GARVEY P24897

Attorney for Plaintiffs 24825 Little Mack St. Clair Shores, MI 48080 (586) 779-7810

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MONA K. MAJZOUB

There is no other civil action between these parties arising of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint pending in this Court, nor has any such action been previously filed and Dismissed or transferred after having been assigned to a Judge, nor do I know of any other civil action, not between these parties, arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint that is either pending or was previously filed and Dismissed, transferred or otherwise disposed of after having been assigned to a Judge in this Court.

<u>COMPLAINT</u>

NOW COME the above named Plaintiffs, Joseph Dean and Rebecca P. Dean, husband and wife, by and through their attorneys, THOMAS, GARVEY, GARVEY & SCIOTTI, PLLC, and as their Complaint against the Defendant Paul Shamo and the Vessel "38 Top Gun", state as follows:

That this cause of action arises out of an allision (single vessel collision) 1.

IGAN 48080-3218 (810) 779-4912 THOMAS, GARVEY, GARVEY & SCIOTTI
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
APPOFESSIONAL UMITED LIMBILITY COMPANY ● ST.CLAIR SHORES, MICH 10) 779-7810 ● FACSIMILE

LITTLE MACK LEPHONE (810

which occurred as a result of the Defendant's 37 foot pleasure craft colliding at a high rate of speed with a rocky point south of Huron Point near Metropolitan Beach, Macomb County, Michigan. That the amount in controversy exceeds Seventy Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars. Federal jurisdiction is claimed under the Law of Admiralty/Maritime Law, Article 3 §2 cl 1 U.S. Constitution.

- That Plaintiffs Joseph Dean and Rebecca P. Dean are husband and wife, citizens of the State of Michigan and residents of the City of Grosse Pointe, County of Wayne.
- That Defendant, Paul Shamo, is a citizen of the State of Michigan and resident of Harrison Township, County of Macomb.
- 4. That Defendant, Lou Shamo Leasing, Inc., a Michigan Corporation, was the titled owner of the vessel.
- 5. That at all times relevant to this cause of action, Defendant Paul Shamo was the lessee, and for all intent and purposes, owner in sole possession of the 37 foot pleasure craft that was involved in this allision. That the name of the vessel was the "38 Top Gun".
- That Defendant Lou Shamo Leasing, Inc., is made a party under the Owner's Liability Statute.
- 7. That on August 11, 2005, Plaintiff, Defendant and a third individual, met at the Defendant's home where they boarded the Defendant's 37 foot Cigarette pleasure craft bearing Michigan registration MC63285C.

- 8. That after a period of time, the Defendant and the Plaintiff left the Defendant's home for a restaurant known as Jack's on the Waterfront.
- 9. That at approximately 9:00 p.m. on August 11, 2005, the Defendant with Plaintiff and a second passenger aboard left Jack's heading in a northerly direction on Lake St. Clair.
- 10. That the Defendant proceeded at an unsafe, high rate of speed until he came in contact with a jetty of rocks on the south side of Huron Point near Metropolitan Beach in Macomb County, Michigan. The vessel went airborne and landed on shore.
- 11. That Plaintiff Joseph Dean was ejected from the boat at impact, suffering severe and permanent injuries as hereinafter indicated.
- That at all times relevant to this cause of action, Plaintiff Joseph Dean was the Regional Manager, Ford Division, Detroit Region.
- That Defendant Paul Shamo was Dealer Principal and President of Taylor
 Ford, a retail Ford dealership.
- 14. That the outing in question was initiated by Mr. Shamo for business purposes related to Taylor Ford, Inc.
- 15. That at all times relevant to this cause of action, Mr. Shamo was the operator and for legal purposes the owner of the vessel in question.
- 16. That this Honorable Court has Admiralty and Maritime jurisdiction under Article III, Section 2, Clause 1 of the Constitution and through Maritime and Admiralty Law as it relates to torts involving recreational boating on

- navigable waters involving normal maritime activities.
- 17. That Lake St. Clair is a navigable waterway and Mr. Shamo was engaged in normal maritine activity at the time of the allision.
- 18. That at all times relevant to this cause of action, it was the duty of the Defendant Paul Shamo to exercise reasonable care for the safety of his passengers, specifically Plaintiff Joseph Dean.
- 19. That additionally it was the duty of the Defendant to adhere to statutory and regulatory rules of navigation and "rules of good seamanship", the international regulations for preventing collisions, 33 USC §1602, et seq. and the inland navigational rules 33USC §2001-20073, including the following.
- 20. That the Defendant violated said duties and was negligent in the following manner:
 - (a) That the Defendant failed to proceed at a safe speed so that the vessel could take proper and effective action to avoid collision or allision and be stopped within a distance appropriate to the prevailing circumstances and conditions;
 - (b) That the Defendant was in violation of this duty in that immediately prior to impact he was proceeding at a high rate of speed such that he was unable to avoid collisions and stop within a distance appropriate to the prevailing circumstances and conditions which included the state of visibility and traffic density;
 - (c) That the Defendant was negligent in failing to use all available means appropriate to the prevailing circumstances and conditions to determine if risk of collision existed;
 - (d) That the Defendant violated this rule of navigation by failing to utilize all available means appropriate to the prevailing circumstances and conditions and failed to determine that a risk of collision existed prior

- to the time that he could reasonably remedy this situation by avoiding the collision;
- (e) That Defendant had an obligation to maintain a proper lookout by sight and hearing as well as by all available means appropriate in the prevailing circumstances and conditions so as to make a full appraisal of the situation and of the risk of collision:
- (f) That the Defendant was negligent in failing to maintain a proper lookout and failed to make an appraisal of the situation at hand and failed to appreciate the risk of collision;
- (g) That the Defendant had an obligation to make proper use of radar equipment to obtain early warning of risk of collision and radar or GPS plotting or equivalent to avoid the collision in question. That the Defendant violated this duty by failing to utilize radar, GPS to avoid the risk of collision under the circumstances presented;
- (h) That the Defendant had a duty to take action to avoid collision that was positive and made in ample time and with due regard to the observance of good seamanship to avoid the collision;
- That the Defendant was negligent in failing to take reasonable steps to avoid the collision;
- (j) That the Defendant had a duty to avoid consumption of alcoholic beverages to the point that the consumption interfered with his ability to operate the vessel under his control in a safe and prudent manner;
- (k) That in violation of the aforesaid duty, the Defendant consumed alcoholic beverages to the point that his ability to operate the vessel safety was impaired materially;
- 21. That since this incident constituted an allision, i.e. a moving vessel colliding with a stationery visible object, the Defendant is presumed to be at fault for the casualty.
- 22. That as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligent acts of the Defendant, Plaintiff Joseph Dean was thrown from the vessel at impact with

the rocky jetty suffering the following injuries and damages:

- (a) Multiple fractures of the lower left extremity including a compounded open fracture of the tibia and several ankle fractures;
- (b) Multiple compound comminuted fractures of the left elbow;
- (c) Traumatic brain injury, coma 22 days, ventilator dependent;
- (d) Multiple rib fractures and pneumothorax in both lungs;
- (e) Serious injury to the left shoulder;
- (f) Injury to the bony and soft tissues and attendant structures, including the nerves, muscles, ligaments, tendons and adjacent structures of the neck, back, upper and lower extremities.
- 23. That the aforesaid injuries have resulted in expenses for extensive medical care and treatment, both inpatient and outpatient. That these expenses are of a continuing nature. That in addition, Plaintiff has had to modify and alter the home environment to facilitate handicap accessibility.
- 24. That as a result of the aforesaid injuries, Plaintiff has been disabled from returning to work and as such, Plaintiff has suffered a loss of earnings and earning capacity that are of a continuing nature.
- 25. That Plaintiff has and will continue to experience pain, suffering, mental and emotional distress, fright, shock, mortification, humiliation and embarrassment. That these damages are of a continuing nature and may be permanent.
- 26. That Plaintiff has lost the ability to engage in recreational activities and pursuits as a result of his injuries and that these disabilities are of a continuing nature.

27. That Plaintiff Rebecca P. Dean has suffered a loss of consortium, society and companionship, and household services of her husband, Joseph Dean. That in addition, Plaintiff Rebecca P. Dean has expended extensive amounts of time in attendant care related to her husband and his condition.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendants in any amount they are found to be entitled to, plus costs, interest and attorneys fees so wrongfully sustained in bringing this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS, GARVEY, GARVEY & SCIOTTI

BY:

ROBERT F. GARVEY [P-24897]

Attorney for Plaintiff 24825 Little Mack

St. Clair Shores, MI 48080-3218

(586) 779-7810

DATED: December 15, 2005

%JS 44 (Rev. 13/04)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which this action arose Macomb

The JS 44 civil cover sheet an provided by local rules of court of initiating the civil docket sh	 This form, approved by the 	Judicial Conference of	the Unite	d States in September 197	ce of pleadings or other papen 4, is required for the use of the	s as required by law, except as Clerk of Court for the purpose
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		·
Joseph T. Dea (b) County of Residence (E) Attorney's (Firm Name Robert F. Gail 24825 Little	of First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIPF CA S. Address, and Talephone Number TVEY F2489	Vayne 26163		änd Lou Si County of Residence o NOTE: IN LANG	o and the Ves hamo Leasing, first Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	Macomb NLY)
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Select One Ber	(Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Select One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	SDICTION (Select One Box Only) XX3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) en of This State	if Qe f	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State 🔲	2 (7 2 Incorporated and I of Business In .	
				en or Subject of a 💢 🖂 veign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Select One Box Only) TQB	тя	FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Vetrans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterm's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 Ail Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slamler 330 Federal Employera' Liability 340 Marine 345 Merine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Linjury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Woifare	PÉRSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	TY O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 560 Occupational Safety/Health 590 Other	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawnl 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Fatent ☐ 840 Tindemark SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party ☐ 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Custumer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Stabutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acta 892 Economic Stabilization Act 895 Freedom of Information 895 Freedom of Information 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
olonginai D2 _R	Cite the U.S. Civil Standard Sec	Appellate Court tute under which you a 2 C I I U .	Rec re filing S . C	nstated or anoth- pened (speci- (Do not cite jurisdiction Onstitution	ai statutes unless diversity):	n Judgment
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: AdmiraIty/Maritime AdmiraIty/Maritime O CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23				ijury 75,000	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: e: □ Yes ¥ENo
VIII. RELATED CAS		JUDGE	<u></u> A		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE December 15, 2005		SIGNATURE OF A	PRAIL	OF RECORD P2489	<u> </u>	

10DGE

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?			
If yes, give the following information:				
Court:				
Case No.:				
2.	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)			
If yes, give	e the following information:			
Court:				
Case No.:				
Judge:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Notes :				